

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 8124		
10/645,718	08/21/2003	Mary L. Schwebel	3031.01US02			
7:	590 02/13/2004	EXAMINER				
Patterson, Thuente, Skaar & Christensen, P.A.			MAI, HUY KIM			
4800 IDS Cente 80 South 8th St		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
Minneapolis, MN 55402-2100			2873			
			DATE MAILED: 02/13/200	4		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,							W		
•		-"	Application	n No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/645,71	8		MARY SCHWEBEL				
		Examiner			Art Unit				
			Huy K. Ma			2873			
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication app	ars on the	cover sh	t with th c	orrespond nce ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>22 Au</u>	<u>igust 2003</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-37 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
	on Papers			4					
9)□ 10)⊠	The specification is objected to by th The drawing(s) filed on 22 August 20 Applicant may not request that any obje Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	2003 is/are: action to the digital the correction	a)⊠ accep drawing(s) b on is require	e held in abe	eyance. See ving(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.									
2) Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P		<u>03</u> .			(PTO-413) Paper No(s atent Application (PTC			

Application/Control Number: 10/645,718

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The Information Disclosure Statement filed on Dec. 8, 2003 is acknowledged.

Oath/Declaration

2. The declaration filed on Aug. 22, 2003 is acceptable.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 6,36,37 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 6 is dependent upon itself. Claims 36 and 37 should depend from claim 26 because there is no claim 46. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-37 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-47 of U.S. Patent No. 6,641,264. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed invention as claimed in claims 1-37 is substantially the same as that in claims 1-47 of the '264 patent. All elements claimed in claims 1-37 in the present patent application are

Art Unit: 2873

included in claims 1-47 of the '264 patent. These elements in the present application perform the

same function as those of the elements in claims 1-47 of the '264 patent. Particularly claims 15

or 16, 29 and 47 of the '264 patent includes the enclosed chamber comprising hat and brim

incorporated into the structure as claimed in 1, 21 and 32, respectively, would provide the same

invention as now claimed in claims 1,17,26. Thus, the invention claimed in claims 1-37 is

substantially identical to that in claims 1-47 of the '264 patent.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Huy Mai whose telephone number is (571) 272-2334. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00 a.m.-4:30 p.m.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Georgia Y. Epps can be reached on (571) 272-2328. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1562.

Huy Mai

Primary Examiner

HughMan

Art Unit 2873

HKM/

February 9, 2004